

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/752,411	01/07/2004	Karen Jackson	330499.00025	4891
27160 7590 08/22/2007 PATENT ADMINISTRATOR KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP			EXAMINER ·	
			KUDLA, JOSEPH S	
1025 THOMAS EAST LOBBY	S JEFFERSON STREE' · SUITE 700	Γ, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20007-5201		·	1609	
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•			
	• •	•	08/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>d</i> .	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/752,411	JACKSON, KAREN		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Joseph S. Kudla	1609		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	•	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ja</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-128 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-128 are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer and transfer	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te		

Application/Control Number: 10/752,411 Page 2

Art Unit: 1609

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 66-127, drawn to a monophasic pharmaceutical composition comprising a therapeutically effective amount of devazepide and a pharmaceutically acceptable surfactant, classified in class 514, subclass 960.
- II. Claims 1 and 3-63, drawn to a method of treatment of a patient requiring analgesia which comprises the separate, simultaneous or sequential administration of a therapeutically effective amount of an opioid analgesic, devazepide and a pharmaceutically acceptable surfactant, classified in class 514, subclass 816.
- III. Claims 2-63, drawn to a method of treatment of a patient undergoing opioid analgesic therapy which comprises the administration of a pharmaceutical composition comprising a therapeutically effective amount of devazepide and a pharmaceutically acceptable surfactant, classified in class 514, subclass 415.
- IV. Claims 64-65, drawn to the use of devazepide in the manufacture of a monophasic pharmaceutical composition comprising a therapeutically effective amount of devazepide and a pharmaceutically acceptable surfactant, classified in class 514, subclass 959.

V. Claim 128, drawn to a method or a composition substantially as described with reference to the accompanying examples, classified in class 514, subclass 1.

The inventions of the instant application are distinct from each other for the following reasons:

- a. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the patient in need of analgesia can be administered an alternative analgesic medication such as a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug such as aspirin.
- b. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the patient in need of analgesia can be administered an alternative analgesic medication such as a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug such as COX-2 inhibitor.
- c. Inventions I and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially

different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the dosage form could be alternatively a capsule or in liquid form.

- Inventions I and V are directed to related pharmaceutical compositions. The d. related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed can have a materially different design. One dosage form can have both active ingredients administered orally, in another dosage form a component could be given orally and another intravenously, in yet another dosage form an active ingredient can be administered topically through a transdermal patch while the other active ingredient administered orally. The inventions do not overlap in scope. One invention can be used to increase the analgesic effects of an opioid being administered to a patient. While, in another aspect, the delivery of the devazepide active ingredient solely can be used to regulate the pancreatic endocrine function. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.
- e. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Election of Species

Types of Surfactants

In the event the applicant elects to proceed with Inventions I, II, III or V, claims 37, 40, 41, 44, 45, 47, 99, 100, 101, 104, 106, 109 and 111 are generic due to a plurality of the following disclosed patentably distinct surfactant species represented in claims 38, 39, 41, 43, 46, 48, 49, 102, 103, 105, 107, 110, 112 and 113. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed surfactant species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless. accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Type of Opioid

In the event the applicant elects to proceed with Inventions I, II, III or V, claims 24-27 and 88-91 are generic due to a plurality of the following disclosed patentably distinct opioid species represented in claims 24-27 and 88-91. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Type of Filler

In the event the applicant elects to proceed with Inventions I, II, III or V, claims 52-54 and 116-118 are generic due to a plurality of the following disclosed patentably distinct filler species represented in claims 52-54 and 116-118. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph S. Kudla whose telephone number is (571) 270-3288. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am - 5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffery Stucker can be reached on (571) 272-0911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JK

PRIMARY EXAMINER